

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/541,639	01/23/2006	Young-Kook Kim	HANO-005	8390
2632 00/05/2009 BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE SUITE 200 EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303			EXAMINER	
			SCHLIENTZ, NATHAN W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/541,639	KIM ET AL.			
Examiner-induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nathan W. Schlientz	1616			
Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>					
(1) Nathan W. Schlientz.	(3)	(3)			
(2) Karl Bozicevic.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 30 December 2008	Time: <u>13:00</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A					
Claims discussed: N/A					
Prior art documents discussed: N/A					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
/Nathan W Schlientz/					
Examiner, Art Unit 1616	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/541,639

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Altorney Bozicevic left a message with the examiner requesting a return call to discuss the proper action for this application since the RCE filed 26 November 2008 was not entered. The examiner returned the call and discussed that Applicants could either amend the claims to read on compositions (as examined in the office action mailed 27 March 2008) or file a divisional/continuation application to pursue the method claims.

/John Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616